

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,920	08/07/2001	Peter Robert Foley	7942	1888	
27752	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI	
	FUAL PROPERTY DIV ILL TECHNICAL CEN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENT	ER HILL AVENUE		1751		
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,920	FOLEY, PETER	ROBERT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pr eti Kumar	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov r sheet with the	orrespond nce ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/890,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection after RCE

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 11-13 and 15-26 are pending.
- 2. Examiner notes that Applicants have cancelled the new matter recited in the amendment filed May 28, 2004 in the reply dated October 14, 2004. Thus, the objection under 35 U.S.C.132 is withdrawn.
- 3. The objection to claim 26 is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 4. The rejection of claims 11 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 5. The rejection of claims 11 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 6. The rejection of claims 11-13 and 15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Boskamp (US 4,462,922) is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 7. The rejection of claims 11-13 and 15-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vinson et al. (US 6,069,122) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office actions and further stated below.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed October 14, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

9. Applicant's urge that Vinson et al. do not teach the claimed ratios of anionic surfactant to amphoteric surfactant to diamine of from about 27 to 8 to 1 to about 11 to 3 to 1. However, contrary to Applicant's arguments, the prior art, Vinson et al. illustrate ratios encompassed by the material limitations of the instant claims. Please see the examples I and II in col.28-29 where Vinson et al. illustrate in formulations A and D, anionic surfactant at 25-30%, amphoteric surfactant at 3-5% and 1% diamine which ratio is encompassed by the recitation of the instant claims. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Specifically, Applicants have provided no arguments or basis for urging that examples I and II in col.28-29 as taught by Vinson et al. do not encompass the broad ratio as recited by the instant claims.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/890,920 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700